IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Christopher Moton, Jr.,) C/A No.: 1:19-1377-MGL-SVH
Plaintiff,)
vs.	
Director Patricia Ray, in her	ORDER)
individual and official capacities,)
Defendants.)

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights by Defendant. On October 8, 2019, Patricia Ray ("Defendant") filed a motion for summary judgment. [ECF No. 47]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of the motion and of the need for him to file an adequate response by November 8, 2019. [ECF No. 48]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to Defendant's motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the motion for summary judgment by November 26, 2019. Plaintiff is further

advised that if he fails to respond, the undersigned will recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 12, 2019 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

Shira V. Hodges